

R E M A R K S

By this Amendment claims 1, 2, 7, 10-14 and 16 have been amended to better define the inventive subject matter, and claims 5 and 6 have been deleted. Entry is requested.

In the outstanding final Office Action the examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molteni et al. in view of Schantz and Arti et al., and he has rejected claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over these same patents, further in view of Levy. He has stated that claims 7, 8 and 10-16 contain allowable subject matter.

It is asserted that claims 7, 8 and 10-16 are in an allowable condition.

With respect to claim 1 and dependent claim 2, it is asserted that these claims are patentable insofar as it would not be obvious to somehow utilize the disc-pointer system (21,23) of Schantz in Molteni et al. because in Schantz it is the film support frame which is pivoted, not the plate which supports the bite piece.

Favorable reevaluation is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

By:



Richard H. Tushin

Registration No. 27,297

Franklin Square, Third Floor West

1300 I Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3353

(202) 906-8680